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#### Abstract

Anilite, $\mathrm{Cu}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$, is a new mineral first described from the Ani Mine, Akita, Japan. It is orthorhombic with space group Pnma, with $a=7.89, b=7.84, c=11.01 \AA, Z=4, D_{x}=5.68 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Three-dimensional data ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation) were collected from integrating Weissenberg photographs taken from a synthetic crystal. The structure was determined by the Patterson method and refined by full-matrix least squares. The residual was $R=0.144$ for the 450 reflexions observed. The sulphur atoms approximate a cubic facecentred arrangement and the copper atoms are ordered in the interstices. The ordered distribution of the copper atoms results in slight displacements of the sulphur atoms from the positions of cubic closest packing. The structure contains five kinds of copper atom, occurring in tetrahedral and triangular coordinations. In one case the copper atom is displaced toward an edge of the tetrahedron. Two kinds of layer parallel to (011) alternate with each other: in one layer the chains of edge-sharing octahedra of sulphur atoms, three of whose faces are occupied by copper atoms, extend parallei to the $a$ axis; in the other, pairs of edge-sharing tetrahedra around copper atoms are linked into chains, which extend parallel to the $a$ axis. The layers are linked by isolated octahedra, two faces of which are occupied by copper atoms.


## Introduction

There are a number of compounds with apparently nonstoichiometric composition among sulphide minerals. Examples of such minerals in the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ system are djurleite, $\mathrm{Cu}_{1 \cdot 97} \mathrm{~S}$ (Djurle, 1958; Morimoto, 1962; Roseboom, 1962, 1966; Takeda, Donnay, Roseboom \& Appleman, 1967) and anilite, $\mathrm{Cu}_{1 \cdot 75} \mathrm{~S}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (Morimoto, Koto \& Shimazaki, 1969). Digenite, (Cu, $\mathrm{Fe})_{1 \cdot 8-x} \mathrm{~S}$, is also considered to be an example of this type, the composition being very close to the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ system.
The crystal structures of the high-temperature forms of digenite and chalcocite have been studied (Morimoto \& Kullerud, 1963; Wuensch \& Buerger, 1963; Sadanaga, Ohmasa \& Morimoto, 1965). In these structures the sulphur atoms are in cubic or hexagonal closest packing and the copper atoms statistically occupy the interstices.

Many crystallographic studies on the minerals mentioned above indicate that their structures are superstructures of the high-temperature forms of digenite
or chalcocite (Buerger \& Buerger, 1944; Morimoto \& Kullerud, 1963). On the basis of the change in cell dimensions and lowering of symmetry relative to the high-temperature forms, the super-structures of these minerals are considered to result from ordered arrangements of copper atoms and slight displacements of sulphur atoms from the positions of closest packing.

In order to elucidate the relationships between apparent nonstoichiometry and crystal structure, especially in super-structures of sulphides, it was necessary to obtain accurate crystal structures of these minerals. Among these minerals, the structure of low chalcocite was recently reported (Evans, 1968). In this investigation the crystal structure of anilite has been determined. The results help towards an understanding of the transition or decomposition mechanisms of copper sulphides in general.

## Experimental

## Material

Natural crystals from the Ani Mine, Akita, Japan and synthetic crystals of composition $\mathrm{Cu}_{1 \cdot 75} \mathrm{~S}$, give the
same X-ray patterns and were considered to be identical in structure. Synthetic crystals were used in the present work.

## Cell and space group

Anilite is orthorhombic. The cell dimensions were determined from precession photographs with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation $\cdot(1.5418 \AA)$ using quartz for calibration. They are: $a=7 \cdot 89, b=7.84$ and $c=11 \cdot 01 \AA$, all $\pm 0 \cdot 2 \%$. There are 16 formula units of $\mathrm{Cu}_{1 \cdot 75} \mathrm{~S}$ per cell, giving a calculated density $D_{x}=5.68 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$.

The diffraction aspect is $P n^{*} a$ with possible space groups Pnma and $P n 2_{1} a$. Because of their high conductivity it was not possible to detect by the piezoelectric test whether or not the crystals were centrosymmetric. The structure determination was started in both space groups. At the final stage, however, the space group was found to be Pnma.

## Intensity measurements

Natural and synthetic specimens all contain a small amount of djurleite (Morimito \& Koto, 1970) and all show twinning. Attempts to obtain single crystals were unsuccessful: a synthetic crystal was used for intensity measurements. The specimen is about $0.1 \times 0.03 \times 0.15$ mm , with a very small amount of djurleite and some twinning.

The intensity data were collected from integrating Weissenberg photographs (levels 0 to 6) around the $a$ and $b$ axes using the multiple-film technique. $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation was used. Lorentz and polarization factors were applied but no absorption correction was made. Of $677 b$-axis reflexions observed on the photographs 114 had relative intensities less than unity on a full intensity scale of 650 . As the intensity data around the $a$ axis were considered to be seriously affected by
absorption, only those of the zero-level were used; the others served only at the first stage of the structure refinement for correlating the different layers in the $b$ axis photographs.

The specimen was twinned around the $c$ axis by $90^{\circ}$, so that the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ directions of one twin component coincide with the $\mathbf{b}$ and a directions respectively of the other. Because of the near equality of the $a$ and $b$ axes, the $h k l$ reflexions of one component overlap with the $k h l$ reflexions of the other. Correction of intensities for twinning was made by using the volume ratio $p: q$ of the two components, which could be estimated by comparing the intensities of eight reflexions, viz. 014 , $023,025,032,034,038,041$ and 043 , from both components, because these reflexions do not overlap. The ratio $p: q$ was determined to be $40: 1$ and the intensities $I_{(h k l)}$ and $I_{(k h l)}$ were obtained. Since the twinning correction was applied only to the intensity data in which both $I_{(h k l)}$ and $I_{(k h l)}$ were obtained from $b$-axis photographs, 450 reflexions were corrected.

In addition to the overlapping of reflexions due to twinning, some djurleite reflexions are superposed with anilite reflexions because of an epitaxic relation between the two species. However, the amount of djurleite in the specimen was so small that the change in intensity of the reflexions was neglected.

## Determination of the crystal structure

The structure of anilite may be considered to be a super-structure of the high-temperature digenite (Morimoto \& Kullerud, 1966), because a pseudo-cubic subcell with cell edge of approximately $5 \cdot 5 \AA$ can be derived from the pseudo-tetragonal cell of anilite by the transformation matrix $\frac{11}{2} 0 / \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0 / 00 \frac{1}{2}$.

The projection on (010) was first considered because


Fig.1. (a) Plane groups pgg of the original structure, (b) cmm of the first hypothetical structure and (c) pmg of the second hypothetical structure. Black circles represent the atoms in the original structure, and open circles represent the atoms transferred to the hypothetical cell (indicated by the arrows).


Fig. 2. Patterson projection $P(u w)$ on (010). Contours drawn at arbitrary intervals. Black circles represent predicted S-to-S peaks.
the plane group of this projection is pgg [Fig. 1(a)] for both Pnma and $P n 2_{1} a$. In the Patterson projection $P(u n)$ on (010) (Fig. 2), the predicted S-to-S peaks are indicated by the black circles, on the assumption that the $S$ atoms are in cubic closest packing. As illustrated by the point $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$, however, they are not all maxima. With the assuming that the structure contains a substructure of $S$ atoms, it was determined as follows.

A Patterson projection $P^{\prime}(u w)$ [Fig. 3(a)] was synthesized from only the reflexions to which the sulphur substructure contributes i.e. $h 0 l$ with $h$ and $l$ even and $h+l=4 n$. This projection corresponds to that of a hypothetical structure with symmetry cmm [Fig. 1(b)] obtained by dividing the original structure into four parts by halving $a$ and $c$, and then superimposing these four parts by means of translations $\mathbf{a} / 2, \mathbf{c} / 2$ and $(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}) / 4$ (after division of the weight of each atom by eight).

The electron density of the $S$ atoms in the cmm cell remains the same as in the $p g g$ cell, because the S substructure is translated by $\mathbf{a}_{1}=\mathbf{a} / 2, \quad \mathbf{c}_{1}=\mathbf{c} / 2$ and $\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}+\mathbf{c}_{1}\right) / 4$ in the $p g g$ cell. However, the electron density of the Cu atoms is reduced to $\frac{1}{8}$ of the original value because the Cu atoms do not form a sublattice in the $p g g$ cell. Because $S-S$ peaks in the Patterson map, $P^{\prime}\left(u w^{\prime}\right)$, are enhanced about 64 times compared with the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ peaks, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ peaks at $(0,0)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ were easily located from the Patterson map. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ peaks are considered to be those near the centre of the sulphur tetrahedron ( $0, \frac{1}{4}$ ) and at the centres of the sulphur triangles $\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right)$. Thus it became clear from this Pat-


Fig. 3. (a) A two-dimensional Patterson synthesis $P^{\prime}(u w)$, a special projection synthesized by using the reflexion $h 0 l$ with $h$ and $l$ even and $h+l=4 n$ and $(b)$ the corresponding Fourier synthesis. Crosses represent copper atoms at the centres of triangles and tetrahedra of sulphur atoms which are at $(0,0)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
terson projection that the Cu atoms occupy the centres of triangles and tetrahedra of S atoms at the nodes of a pseudocubic face-centred lattice. From the Fourier synthesis [Fig. 3(b)], the $x$ and $z$ coordinates and the number of Cu atoms were determined.

In the cmm structure the Cu atom, which occupied one position in the original structure, is distributed over eight equivalent sites, so one of these eight cmm sites must be selected in order to derive the original structure. On the other hand, a number of different atoms in the original structure are superposed in the cmm structure, making it difficult to select possible combinations of atoms.

An intermediate hypothetical cell translated by $\mathbf{a}_{2}=\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{2}=\mathbf{c} / 2$ was considered, which gives rise to only reflexions corresponding to $l=2 n$ of the original structure. It gives the plane group pmg [Fig.1(c)]. The sites for the Cu atoms in an asymmetric unit of the pmg structure can be obtained by selecting one of four equivalent sites in the cmm cell. The combinations of sites for Cu atoms possible in the pmg cell were found by application of the relationship between the two plane groups. The correct structure was determined by comparison of $F_{o}$ with $F_{c}$ based on structures with these possible combinations.

Finally, all the observed reflexions were taken into account to obtain the projection on ( 010 ). In a procedure similar to that described above, all possible combinations of Cu sites were considered. The resulting structure gave an $R$ value of 0.32 and the others, more than 0.40 . The $F_{o}$ and $F_{o}-F_{c}$ syntheses were carried out with this structure. The final $R$ value was $0 \cdot 21$. The displacements of the $S$ atoms from the nodes of the cubic face-centred lattice were revealed at this stage.

The Patterson projection on (100) was interpreted on the basis of the information obtained from the ( 010 ) projection. The final $R$ value from the $0 k l$ data dropped to $0 \cdot 24$.

## Refinement

All computations in the present investigation were carried out on the HITAC 5020E computer of the University of Tokyo using the UNICS System (1967).

Refinement was carried out by the full-matrix leastsquares method using the program ORFLS of Busing, Martin \& Levy (1962), modified by Sakurai to the UNICS System. The centrosymmetric space group

Pnma was adopted during the structure refinement and successful refinement of the structure confirmed this choice.
In the least-squares refinement, unit weight was given to all 450 three-dimensional observed reflexions. The function minimized in the least-squares refinement was $\sum\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|^{2}\right.$. Scattering factors for non-ionized atoms and dispersion corrections were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). After one cycle of refinement in which the coordinates, individual isotropic temperature factors and scale factors were varied simultaneously, the $R$ value dropped from 0.236 to $0 \cdot 159$. Several cycles were carried out and the final $R$ value was 0.144 for the 450 observed reflexions. Attempts to refine the structure further with aniso-


Fig. 4. Projection of the crystal structure of anilite on to the (010) composite section of three-dimensional electron-density map, each section cutting the centre of an atom.

Table 1. Final atomic coordinates and isotropic temperature factors of anilite The standard deviations given in parentheses are expressed in units of the last digit. $R=0.144$ for the 450 observed reflexions.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(1) | $0 \cdot 2460$ (20) | $0 \cdot 2500$ (0) | 0.9873 (11) | $0 \cdot 25$ (23) |
| S(2) | $0 \cdot 7850$ (21) | $0 \cdot 2500$ (0) | 0.9841 (12) | 0.41 (25) |
| S(3) | 0.9988 (12) | 0.9980 (15) | $0 \cdot 2611$ (7) | 0.31 (17) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 4832$ (13) | 0.7500 (0) | $0 \cdot 0942$ (8) | 0.94 (18) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 4258$ (13) | 0.2500 (0) | 0.3335 (8) | 0.77 (18) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 4790$ (13) | 0.7500 (0) | $0 \cdot 3545$ (8) | 0.74 (18) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(4)$ | 0.2371 (10) | 0.4303 (12) | $0 \cdot 1553$ (6) | $1 \cdot 35$ (15) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ | $0 \cdot 1770$ (10) | 0.4767 (12) | $0 \cdot 4186$ (6) | $1 \cdot 52$ (16) |

tropic temperature factors were unsuccessful, showing that the experimental data were not sufficiently accurate for this purpose.

Finally, three-dimensional Fourier (Fig.4) and difference syntheses were carried out. Non-observed reflexions about the $b$ axis were included in the difference synthesis.

The atomic coordinates and the individual isotropic temperature factors are given in Table 1. $F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$ values are compared in Table 2.

## Description

The structure of anilite projected on (100) is shown in Fig. 5. The bond distances and angles (Tables 3 and 4)
were computed using Sakurai's program of the UNICS system (1967) RSDA-4. The standard deviations of the atomic coordinates were used to compute the errors in the distances and angles.

Sulphur atoms occupy the approximate nodes of a cubic face-centred lattice and copper atoms are regularly arranged in the interstices. The ordered distribution of the copper atoms results in slight displacements of the sulphur atoms from the positions of cubic closest packing: these displacements are $0 \cdot 10$, 0.12 and $0.33 \AA$ for $S(1), S(2)$ and $S(3)$ respectively.

## Coordination of copper atoms

Copper atoms are coordinated by sulphur atoms in two different ways: tetrahedral coordination of $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors
The three columns in each group contain the values, reading from left to right, of $l, 10\left|F_{o}\right|$ and $10\left|F_{c}\right|$.



| h=5, k=3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 957 | -781 |
| 2 | 625 | . 462 |
| 3 | 1415 | 1105 |
| 4 | 1386 | 1134 |
| 5 | 299 | 237 |
| 8 | 1377 | -1309 |
| 9 | 656 | 593 |
| 10 | 154 | -252 |
| hab, ko3 |  |  |
|  |  | -305 |
| 1 | 350 | -353 |
| 3 | 682 | -543 |
| 4 | 268 | -273 |
| 5 | 180 | -23 |
| 7 | 367 | 427 |
| 9 | 640 | 613 |
| $1=0, k=4$ |  |  |
|  |  | 398 |
| 4 | 694 | -294 |
|  | 626 |  |
| 8 | 37. | 57 |
| $\mathrm{hal}_{1 .} \mathrm{k}=4$ |  |  |
|  | 159 | 37 |
| 2 | 1141 | 1341 |
| 3 | 867 | -834 |
| 4 | 634 | 276 |
| 5 | 899 | 904 |
|  | 1375 | 1569 |
| 8 | 267 | -73 |
|  | ${ }_{581} 68$ | -957 |
| 10 | 527 | -557 |
| haz ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{k}=4$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1349 |
| 1 | 251 | 307 |
| 2 | 1890 | -1914 |
| 3 | 192 | -626 |
| 4 | 735 | -936 |
| 5 | ${ }^{893}$ | -960 |
| 6 | 399 | -251 |
| 7 | 313 | 17 |
| 8 | 197 | 388 |
|  | 258 | 386 |
| 10 | 989 | -1029 |
| 12 | 295 | 267 |
| ${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{ha3}} \mathrm{c}_{464} \mathrm{ka4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | 558 | 233 |
| 3 | 585 | -581 |
| 4 | 865 | -716 |
| 5 | 630 | -571 |
| 7 | 159 | 248 |
| 8 | 622 | 552 |
| 9 | 883 | -864 |
| ${ }^{\text {hat }}$, k 14 |  |  |
|  | 610 | 1206 |
| 2 | 115 | ${ }_{-24}$ |




| ha3 3. $k=6$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1207 | 1147 |
| 694 | 531 |
| 958 | 883 |
| 752 | -682 |
| 337 | 281 |
| 473 | -347 |
| 865 | -837 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{h}=4} \mathbf{4} \mathrm{k}=6$ |  |
|  | 125 |
| 609 | -568 |
| 961 | -948 |
| 282 | 67 |
| 926 | 1027 |
| 852 | 764 |
| 1037 | -1006 |
| $\mathrm{h}=5 . \mathrm{k}=6$ |  |
| 625 | -484 |
| 281 | -56 |
| 139 | 10 |
| 153 | -127 |
| 334 | -331 |
| 355 | -165 |
| 227 | -161 |
| h*6, $k=6$ |  |
| 1411 | 1394 |
| 269 | -221 |
| 462 | -371 |
| 450 | -302 |
| 806 | 552 |
| 231 | 124 |
| 357 | 214 |
| 350 | 248 |

Table 3. Bond distances $(\AA)$ in anilite
Standard deviations are given in parentheses in units of the last digit.
(a) Copper-sulphur distances in the sulphur tetrahedron

|  | S(1) | S(2) | S(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | $2 \cdot 317$ (18) | $2 \cdot 285$ (19) | $2 \cdot 517$ (12) |
|  |  |  | 2.517 (12) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 206$ (17) | $2 \cdot 295$ (17) | $2 \cdot 306$ (12) |
|  |  |  | $2 \cdot 306$ (12) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | $2 \cdot 300$ (17) | $2 \cdot 346$ (18) | $2 \cdot 329$ (12) |
|  |  |  | $2 \cdot 329$ (12) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(4)$ | $2 \cdot 329$ (12) | $2 \cdot 944$ (11) | $2 \cdot 282$ (12) |
|  | $2 \cdot 352$ (11) | $2 \cdot 243$ (12) | $2 \cdot 329(12)$ 2.241 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ |  |  | $3 \cdot 225$ (12) |

Table 3 (cont.)
(b) Copper-copper distances

|  | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ |  |  | $2 \cdot 866$ (12) | $3 \cdot 241$ (11) | $2 \cdot 636$ (11) |
|  |  |  | $3 \cdot 952$ (14) | $3 \cdot 241$ (11) | $2 \cdot 638$ (11) |
|  |  |  | $4 \cdot 018$ (14) | $3 \cdot 797$ (12) | $2 \cdot 915$ (11) |
|  |  |  |  | 3.797 (12) | $2 \cdot 915$ (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ |  |  | $3 \cdot 516$ (13) | 2.837 (12) | $2 \cdot 809$ (11) |
|  |  |  | 3.949 (2) | 2.837 (12) | $2 \cdot 809$ (11) |
|  |  |  | 3.949 (2) | $2 \cdot 840$ (11) | $3 \cdot 845$ (11) |
|  |  |  |  | $2 \cdot 840$ (11) | $3 \cdot 846$ (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | $2 \cdot 866$ (12) | $3 \cdot 516$ (13) |  | $3 \cdot 231$ (11) | $3 \cdot 281$ (11) |
|  | 3.952 (14) | 3.949 (2) |  | $3 \cdot 231$ (11) | $3 \cdot 281$ (11) |
|  | $4 \cdot 018$ (14) | 3.949 (2) |  | $3 \cdot 839$ (11) | 4.009 (11) |
|  |  |  |  | $3 \cdot 839$ (11) | $4 \cdot 010$ (11) |
|  |  |  |  | $3 \cdot 984$ (11) |  |
|  |  |  |  | 3.984 (11) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(4)$ | $3 \cdot 241$ (11) | 2.837 (12) | 3.231 (11) | 2.827 (13) | 2.790 (10) |
|  | $3 \cdot 797$ (12) | $2 \cdot 840$ (11) | $3 \cdot 839$ (11) |  | $2 \cdot 960$ (9) |
|  |  |  | $3 \cdot 984$ (11) |  | 3.583 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ | $2 \cdot 636$ (11) | $2 \cdot 809$ (11) | 3.281 (11) | 2.790 (10) | $3 \cdot 339$ (11) |
|  | $2 \cdot 915$ (11) | $3 \cdot 846$ (11) | $4 \cdot 009$ (11) | 2.960 (9) | $3 \cdot 555$ (13) |
|  |  |  |  | $3 \cdot 583$ (11) |  |

(c) Sulphur-sulphur distances in six different sulphur-atom tetrahedra

## $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ tetrahedron

|  | $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $4.253(23)$ | $3.747(15)$ | $3.747(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ |  | $3.850(16)$ | $3.850(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ |  | $\ddots$ | $3.889(17)$ |

$\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ tetrahedron

|  | $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.637(23)$ | $4.098(15)$ | $4.098(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ |  | $4.006(15)$ | $4.006(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ |  |  | $3.951(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ tetrahedron |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.637(23)$ | $3.872(15)$ | $3.872(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ |  | $3.739(15)$ | $3.739(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ |  |  | $3.889(17)$ |

$\mathrm{Cu}(4)$ tetrahedron

|  | $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathbf{3}^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.940(2)$ | $3.944(15)$ | $4.098(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ |  | $3 \cdot 850(16)$ | $3.739(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ |  |  | $3.953(13)$ |

$\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ tetrahedron

|  | $S(2)$ | $S(3)$ | $S\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S(1)$ | $3.940(2)$ | $3.872(15)$ | $3.747(15)$ |
| $S(2)$ |  | $4.006(15)$ | $4.108(16)$ |
| $S(3)$ |  |  | $3.953(13)$ |


| Vacant tetrahedron |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $4.253(23)$ | $3.944(15)$ | $3.944(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ |  | $4.108(16)$ | $4 \cdot 108(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ |  |  | $3.951(17)$ |

and $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ and triangular coordination of $\mathrm{Cu}(2), \mathrm{Cu}(4)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ (Fig. 6).

The tetrahedron around $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ is distorted in that two $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ distances $[\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)]$ are shorter than the others [two $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ ]. The bond
angle $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ of $135 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ is much larger than the normal tetrahedral angle, and $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ is displaced so as to be close to the line connecting $S(1)$ and $S(2)$ in the tetrahedron. The tetrahedron around $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ is nearly regular and the mean value of the four $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$

Table 4. Bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ in anilite
Standard deviations are given in parentheses in units of the last digit.

| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $135 \cdot 1(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $101 \cdot 5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $101 \cdot 5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $106 \cdot 5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $106 \cdot 5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $101 \cdot 2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $121 \cdot 1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $121 \cdot 1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $117 \cdot 9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $103 \cdot 1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $113 \cdot 5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $113 \cdot 5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $106 \cdot 2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $106 \cdot 2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $113 \cdot 2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $115 \cdot 7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $125 \cdot 4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{S}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $118 \cdot 0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $118 \cdot 0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(5)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $109 \cdot 3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(5)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $132 \cdot 7(6)$ |
|  |  |

distances is $2.33 \AA$. The triangles around $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(4)$ are almost equilateral, while the triangle around $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ is distorted: the mean $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are $2 \cdot 30$, 2.31 and $2.28 \AA$ for the triangles around $\mathrm{Cu}(2), \mathrm{Cu}(4)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ respectively. The mean distances in anilite are in agreement with those obtained in other sulphide minerals except for the $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}$ distance. For example, the mean $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in tetrahedrite (Wuensch, 1964) are 2.342 and $2.259 \AA$ for copper atoms with tetrahedral and triangular coordinations respectively.

## Vacant tetrahedra of sulphur atoms

The approximately closest-packed sulphur atoms form 32 tetrahedra in the unit cell. If it is considered that each copper atom coordinated by three sulphur atoms belongs to the tetrahedron having the sulphur triangle, four tetrahedra must remain vacant. Thus there are six different sulphur-atom tetrahedra in the structure: tetrahedra for $\mathrm{Cu}(1), \mathrm{Cu}(2), \mathrm{Cu}(3), \mathrm{Cu}(4)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(5)$ and a vacant tetrahedron (Table 3).


Fig.5. Projection of the crystal structure of anilite on to (100) with the $x$ parameters of the atoms indicated. $A, B$ and $C$ represent the almost cubic closest-packed layers of sulphur atoms; the direction of stacking is shown by the arrow. Vacant sulphur atom tetrahedra are hatched.

Two closely packed sheets of sulphur atoms parallel to (011) (for example, $B$ and $C$ in Fig.5) form a layer of tetrahedra for copper atoms. The layers containing
vacant tetrahedra alternate with those containing tetrahedra all filled with copper atoms along [021], [ $02 \overline{2} 1$ ], [201] and [ $\overline{2} 01]$. The distribution of vacant tetrahedra






Fig.6. The coordinations, bond distances and angles in anilite.


Fig. 7. Projection of the crystal structure of anilite between sulphur layers $B$ and $C$ (Fig.5) along [021] with the parameters normal to the projection, normalized $C \rightarrow 0, B \rightarrow 100$. Cu atoms on the hatched triangle of $S$ atoms are displaced towards the vacant tetrahedron.
in the layer is ordered so that the tetrahedra are separated as far as possible by filled tetrahedra (Fig.7). In order to avoid the influence of these vacant tetrahedra and to distribute the copper atoms as evenly as possible, copper atoms in adjacent tetrahedra move to the centres of the triangles which share their edges with the vacant tetrahedra (Fig.7).

The mean S-S distance in the tetrahedra is least ( $3.79 \AA$ ) for the regular tetrahedron of $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$. The Cu
atom tetrahedra with coordination number 4 are generally smaller than those with coordination number 3. The mean S-S distance in the vacant tetrahedron ( $4 \cdot 05 \AA$ ) is larger than in any filled tetrahedron. This is explained by sulphur repulsion in the vacant tetrahedron.
The resulting structure can be described as follows. Two kinds of layers parallel to (011) alternate with each other. Two sheets of closely packed sulphur


Fig. 8. Projection of the crystal structure of anilite between sulphur layers ( $a$ ) $B$ and $C$ (Fig. 5) and (b) $A$ and $B$, along [021]. (a) Two kinds of chains of octahedra, three faces of which are occupied by copper atoms, and (b) two kinds of edge-sharing tetrahedra around copper atoms, are shown. Both chains extend along the $a$ axis and isolated octahedra, with only two faces occupied by copper atoms, serve to connect the chains in both layers.
atoms parallel to (011) form one type of layer of octahedra [Fig. 8(a)]. The chains of edge-sharing octahedra, three faces of which are occupied by copper atoms, extend parallel to the $a$ axis, and isolated octahedra, with only two faces occupied by copper atoms, connect the chains. The second type of layer [Fig. 8(b)] is also made up from two sheets of closely packed sulphur atoms ( $A$ and $B$ in Fig.5). Pairs of tetrahedra around copper atoms, which share an edge, are linked into chains which extend parallel to the $a$ axis, and isolated octahedra, two faces of which are occupied by copper atoms, serve to tie the chains together [Fig.8(b)].

## Discussion

The high-temperature form of the bornite solid solution has the antifluorite-type structure (Morimoto \& Kullerud, 1966), in which sulphur atoms are in the ideal cubic closest packing arrangement and metal atoms are distributed statistically inside the sulphur tetrahedra according to the cubic symmetry (Morimoto \& Kullerud, 1963) so that the number of metal atoms in each tetrahedron becomes a fraction. The structure of high-temperature anilite is similar. In lowtemperature anilite, copper atoms are not statistically distributed but are in ordered positions. This results in some sulphur tetrahedra containing no Cu atoms. The vacant tetrahedra are regularly distributed according to the orthorhombic symmetry and separated from each other by filled tetrahedra. Sulphur atoms are slightly displaced from the positions of ideal closest packing in order to adapt to the ordered arrangement of the copper atoms.

The structure of anilite seems to be characteristic of apparently nonstoichiometric compounds of sulphides stable at low temperatures which should be considered to be stoichiometric because the atoms in the structure occupy crystallographic positions in a definite space group. No cation disorder is observed. The ordered arrangement of metal atoms and the concomitant displacement of sulphur atoms result in a super-structure of the high-temperature form, which is truly nonstoichiometric.

A wide solid-solution range of a high-temperature form of bornite solid solution transforms to a number of, or to mixtures of, low-temperature forms, which are
super-structures of the high-temperature form and to narrow-range solid solutions such as chalcocite, djurleite, anilite, digenite and bornite.
According to the ratio of metal to sulphur atoms, the sulphur atoms adopt the hexagonal closest packing (chalcocite and djurleite) or the cubic closest packing (anilite, digenite and bornite). Some synthetic crystals of anilite show diffuse streaks along the direction of stacking of the closest-packed planes of sulphur atoms, indicating the existence of some mistakes in the stacking of the sulphur planes.

The authors are indebted to Professor J.D. H.Donnay and Dr G.Donnay for reviewing the manuscript and making numerous suggestions for its improvement.
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